

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/850,356	PORTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew Heneghan	2134	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Matthew Heneghan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Christopher Reckamp.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2004
Time: 8 AM EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 16,19

Claims discussed:

16,19,30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.


- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



GREGORY M. HENEGHAN

MATTHEW HENEGHAN

12/15/04


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that rejected claims 16 and 19 had not been addressed in the after-final amendment filed 2 December 2005 and that claim 30 was dependent on cancelled claim 29.. Attorney Reckamp agreed to cancel claims 16 and 19 without prejudice, to amend claim 30 to be dependent upon claim 20..